

Validation of claims-based algorithms to identify hospitalized COVID-19 events within the FDA Sentinel System

Sheryl Kluberg, PhD sheryl_kluberg@harvardpilgrim.org

Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute ICPE 2020 Special COVID-19 Sessions

Disclosures, Funding, and Disclaimer

- This work was funded by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Sentinel Initiative (75F40119D10037).
- The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter and do not necessarily reflect those of the FDA.

Background

- Claims databases are a rich resource of population-based information, but often lack laboratory results, the gold standard for COVID-19 diagnosis.
- There was no specific code for COVID-19 until April 1, 2020, when ICD-10-CM U07.1 was released.
- While coding guidelines were updated, diagnostic test availability and guidance evolved as well.

Objective: Determine the ICD-10-CM code(s) that defines the most valid and complete cohort of patients hospitalized with COVID-19

Methods: Data

FDA Sentinel System Data Partners

- Six data partners participated:
 - $\circ~$ Two national claims-based insurers
 - Four integrated delivery systems (IDS)
 - Provide continuum of health care services and have associated health plan
- Selection criteria:
 - **o** Availability of laboratory result data
 - $\circ~$ Availability of adjudicated and unadjudicated claims

Methods: Algorithms Evaluated

Five diagnosis code-based algorithms

	Algorithm 1	Algorithm 2	Algorithm 3	Algorithm 4	Algorithm 5
U07.1 <i>COVID-19</i>					
B97.29 <i>Other coronavirus as the cause of diseases</i> <i>classified elsewhere</i>					
B34.2 <i>Coronavirus infection, unspecified</i>					
J12.81 <i>Pneumonia due to SARS-associated</i> <i>coronavirus</i>					
B97.21 SARS-associated coronavirus as the cause of diseases classified elsewhere					
Pneumonia					
J80 <i>Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome</i>					

Methods: Algorithms Evaluated

Five diagnosis code-based algorithms

	Algorithm 1	Algorithm 2	Algorithm 3	Algorithm 4	Algorithm 5	
U07.1 <i>COVID-19</i>		OP	OP			
B97.29 <i>Other coronavirus as the cause of diseases</i> <i>classified elsewhere</i>		- OK	OR	OR	OR	
B34.2 <i>Coronavirus infection, unspecified</i>			OK	OP		
J12.81 <i>Pneumonia due to SARS-associated</i> <i>coronavirus</i>				OR	-	AND
B97.21 SARS-associated coronavirus as the cause of diseases classified elsewhere				- OK		
Pneumonia						
J80 Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome						

Methods: Study Design



1. ICD-10-CM Official Coding Guidelines - Supplement. Coding encounters related to COVID-19 Coronavirus Outbreak, February 20, 2020 – March 31, 2020. Accessed November 20, 2020. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/icd/ICD-10-CM-Official-Coding-Gudance-Interim-Advice-coronavirus-feb-20-2020.pdf</u>

Methods: Study Design



1. ICD-10-CM Official Coding Guidelines - Supplement. Coding encounters related to COVID-19 Coronavirus Outbreak, February 20, 2020 – March 31, 2020. Accessed November 20, 2020. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/icd/ICD-10-CM-Official-Coding-Gudance-Interim-Advice-coronavirus-feb-20-2020.pdf</u>

Algorithm-based cohorts:

- > Identify patients with algorithm-defining code(s) during a single inpatient stay
- Classify algorithm-identified patients according to observed COVID-19 nucleic acid amplification (NAA) lab results within ±14 days of inpatient admission date:
 - Lab-positive: Any positive NAA test
 - Lab-negative: All negative NAA test(s)
 - NAA test performed, no result to-date
 - No NAA test observed



Algorithm-based cohorts:

- > Identify patients with algorithm-defining code(s) during a single inpatient stay
- Classify algorithm-identified patients according to observed COVID-19 nucleic acid amplification (NAA) lab results within ±14 days of inpatient admission date:
 - Lab-positive: Any positive NAA test
 - Lab-negative: All negative NAA test(s)
 - NAA test performed, no result to-date
 - No NAA test observed



Patient	s identified	l via Algorit	hm 1
Lab (+) patients	Lab (-) patients	Lab performed, results unknown	Patients with no lab
A	B	С	D

Methods: Statistical Analysis

Patient	s identified	l via Algori	thm 1
Lab (+) patients	Lab (-) patients	Lab performed, results unknown	Patients with no lab
A	B) C	D

Positive Predictive Value =
$$\frac{A}{A+B}$$

Lab-based cohorts:

- Identify patients with COVID-19 nucleic acid amplification (NAA) test within ±14 days of <u>any</u> inpatient admission (including non-COVID-19 related hospitalizations)
- > Classify patients according to NAA result:
 - Lab-positive: Any positive NAA test
 - Lab-negative: All negative NAA test(s)



Lab-based cohorts:

- Identify patients with COVID-19 nucleic acid amplification (NAA) test within ±14 days of <u>any</u> inpatient admission (including non-COVID-19 related hospitalizations)
- > Classify patients according to NAA result:
 - Lab-positive: Any positive NAA test
 - **Lab-negative**: All negative NAA test(s)



Patients identified via Algorithm 1				Patients w test ±14 hospita	ith ≥1 NAA days of lization
Lab (+) patients	Lab (-) patients	Lab performed , results unknown	Patients with no lab	Lab (+) patients	Lab (-) patients
A	В	С	D	X	Y

Methods: Statistical Analysis

Patients identified via Algorithm 1				Patients wi test ±14 hospital	th ≥1 NAA days of lization
Lab (+) patients	Lab (-) patients	Lab performed , results unknown	Patients with no lab	Lab (+) patients	Lab (-) patients
A	В	С	D	X	Y

Sensitivity =
$$\frac{A}{X}$$

Methods: Statistical Analysis

Counts, PPV calculations, and sensitivity calculations stratified by:

Time Period

X

- Demographics:
 - Sex
 - Age category
 - Race
 - Ethnicity

- Symptoms:
 - Pneumonia
 - Bronchitis
 - Respiratory infection
 - ARDS
 - Sepsis
 - Cough
 - Shortness of breath
 - Fever

Results

Algorithm 1: U07.1 Algorithm 2: U07.1 or B97.29 Algorithm 3: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 Algorithm 4: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 or J12.81 or B97.21 Algorithm 5: [U07.1 or B97.29] and [pneumonia or ARDS]

Patient counts across algorithms and over time

	Algorithm 1	Algorithm 2	Algorithm 3	Algorithm 4	Algorithm 5
Time A (2/20 - 3/31)	3,644	5,997	6,354	6,474	5,282
Time B (4/1 - 4/30)	12,815	12,945	13,081	13,153	10,389
Time C (5/1 - current)	27,238	27,424	27,588	27,666	19,135
Total	43,697	46,366	47,023	47,293	34,806

Algorithm 1 (U07.1 alone) captures almost as many patients as the broader algorithms.

Results: Cohort demographics



Diagnosis code-based cohorts are

slightly older than lab-based cohort

Age distribution

Algorithm 1: U07.1 Algorithm 2: U07.1 or B97.29 Algorithm 3: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 Algorithm 4: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 or J12.81 or B97.21 Algorithm 5: [U07.1 or B97.29] and [pneumonia or ARDS]



Results: Cohort clinical characteristics

Algorithm 1: U07.1 Algorithm 2: U07.1 or B97.29 Algorithm 3: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 Algorithm 4: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 or J12.81 or B97.21 Algorithm 5: [U07.1 or B97.29] and [pneumonia or ARDS]



■ Algorithms 1-4 ■ Algorithm 5 ■ All NAA (+) ■ All NAA (-)

Algorithm-based cohorts (1-4) and lab-based cohort have similar symptoms

Severe COVID-19 cohort (algorithm 5) has a slightly higher prevalence of sepsis, fever, and cough

Results: PPV

Algorithm 1: U07.1 Algorithm 2: U07.1 or B97.29 Algorithm 3: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 Algorithm 4: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 or J12.81 or B97.21 Algorithm 5: [U07.1 or B97.29] and [pneumonia or ARDS]

Results by Time Period		Positive Pr	edictive Value (95%	CI)	
Time A (2/2/2020-3/31/2020)					
Algorithm 1	94.2% (92.4-95.6)				-
Algorithm 2	92.4% (90.9-93.7)				
Algorithm 3	90.9% (89.3-92.3)			_	
Algorithm 4	90.7% (89.2-92.2)				
Algorithm 5	93.0% (91.5-94.4)			_	
Time B (4/1/2020-4/30/2020)					
Algorithm 1	88.8% (87.6-89.9)			_	
Algorithm 2	88.4% (87.2-89.6)			-•-	
Algorithm 3	88.1% (86.9-89.3)				
Algorithm 4	88.0% (86.8-89.2)			_	
Algorithm 5	89.7% (88.5-90.9)				
Time C (5/1/2020-10/17/2020))				
Algorithm 1	81.0% (80.0-82.1)				
Algorithm 2	80.9% (79.8-81.9)				
Algorithm 3	80.6% (79.5-81.6)				
Algorithm 4	80.5% (79.5-81.6)				
Algorithm 5	84.3% (83.1-85.4)		-•-		
	,	70	80	90	100

Aggregated across all data partners, PPV decreases from ~91% in Time A to ~81% in Time C

Results: PPV by data source

Algorithm 1: U07.1 Algorithm 2: U07.1 or B97.29 Algorithm 3: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 Algorithm 4: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 or J12.81 or B97.21 Algorithm 5: [U07.1 or B97.29] and [pneumonia or ARDS]

Time C, by Data Source		Positive P	redictive Value (95%	S CI)	
Algorithm 1					
Claims	69.3% (67.5-71.0)				
Integrated Delivery Systems	93.2% (92.1-94.1)				
Algorithm 2					
Claims	69.1% (67.3-70.8)				
Integrated Delivery Systems	93.1% (92.1-94.0)				
Algorithm 3					
Claims	68.7% (66.9-70.4)				
Integrated Delivery Systems	93.0% (92.0-94.0)				
Algorithm 4					
Claims	68.6% (66.9-70.3)				
Integrated Delivery Systems	93.0% (92.0-94.0)				
Algorithm 5					
Claims	73.8% (71.8-75.7)				
Integrated Delivery Systems	94.8% (93.7-95.7)			-•-	
		70	80	90	100

Overall PPV of 81% in Time C actually reflects:

- 69% PPV among claims data partners
- 93% PPV among integrated delivery systems

Results: Sensitivity

Algorithm 1: U07.1 **Algorithm 2:** U07.1 or B97.29 **Algorithm 3:** U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 Algorithm 4: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 or J12.81 or B97.21 Algorithm 5: [U07.1 or B97.29] and [pneumonia or ARDS]

Results by Time Period		Sensitivity (95% CI)				
Time A (2/2/2020-3/31/202	0)					
Algorithm 1	57.1% (54.6-59.7)	—				Time A:
Algorithm 2	87.6% (85.9-89.3)					• Lower sensitivity
Algorithm 3	89.2% (87.5-90.7)			-•-		• U07.1 did not yet exist
Algorithm 4	90.4% (88.8-91.9)					5
Algorithm 5	80.4% (78.3-82.4)					
Time B (4/1/2020-4/30/202	0)					
Algorithm 1	95.3% (94.4-96.1)			•		Times B & C:
Algorithm 2	95.5% (94.5-96.1)			-		Algorithms 1-4:
Algorithm 3	95.6% (94.8-96.4)			•		Sensitivity consistent
Algorithm 4	95.8% (94.9-96.5)			-		across algorithms
Algorithm 5	82.9% (81.4-84.3)					 Sonsitivity stable at 95%
Time C (5/1/2020-10/17/202	20)					Schlarty stable at 5570
Algorithm 1	95.0% (94.3-95.6)			•		Algorithm 5:
Algorithm 2	95.1% (94.4-95.7)			•		• Sensitivity substantially
Algorithm 3	95.2% (94.5-95.8)			•		lower than for other
Algorithm 4	95.3% (94.6-95.9)			•		algorithms.
Algorithm 5	74.5% (73.2-75.8)		-			Declines over time
		70	80	90	100	Sentinel Initiative 23

ntinel Initiative 23

Results: Sensitivity by data source

Algorithm 1: U07.1 Algorithm 2: U07.1 or B97.29 Algorithm 3: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 Algorithm 4: U07.1 or B97.29 or B34.2 or J12.81 or B97.21 Algorithm 5: [U07.1 or B97.29] and [pneumonia or ARDS]

Time C, by Data Source		Sen	sitivity (95% CI)		
Algorithm 1					
Claims	93.2% (92.1-94.3)				
Integrated Delivery Systems	96.4% (95.6-97.1)			+	
Algorithm 2					
Claims	93.4% (92.2-94.4)				
Integrated Delivery Systems	96.5%(95.7-97.1)			-+	,
Algorithm 3					
Claims	93.6% (92.4-94.6)				
Integrated Delivery Systems	96.5% (95.7-97.1)			+	
Algorithm 4					
Claims	93.8% (92.7-94.8)				
Integrated Delivery Systems	96.5% (95.7-97.1)			-+	
Algorithm 5					
Claims	73.7% (71.8-75.6)		-		
Integrated Delivery Systems	75.1% (73.4-76.8)		-		
		70	80	90	100

Sensitivity is similar for claims and integrated delivery systems

Results: Interpretation

Claims, known lab result



Time C Algorithm Capture and Proportion with Known Lab Result,

Integrated Delivery Systems, known lab result

Results: Interpretation

Patient count



Time C Algorithm Capture and Proportion with Known Lab Result,

Integrated Delivery Systems, known lab result

Results: Limitations

Data capture among claims data partners:

- **Data missingness:** Claims data partners have little inpatient lab data; their lab results come from large national diagnostic laboratories that mostly process outpatient tests.
- **Not at random:** Among hospitalized patients with COVID-19 diagnoses, inpatient lab results are likely to be positive. We believe we are missing some of these inpatient tests.
- **Resulting bias:** In claims data, patients with a negative outpatient test before or after hospitalization for COVID-19 could be misclassified as lab-negative even with a positive inpatient test.

Results: Limitations

Claims: Capture of lab results differs by care setting



Results: Limitations

Claims: Capture of lab results differs by care setting



Inpatient tests are not observed; patient is classified as algorithm-positive and NAA-negative

Summary

Findings:

- **U07.1** alone captured almost as many patients as the broader algorithms, with nearly identical PPV (Time C, all data partners: 81% PPV).
- **Observed PPV** of algorithms 1-4 declined across the three time periods.
 - <u>Claims</u>: Observed PPV declined from 93% to 69% over time.
 - <u>Integrated delivery systems</u>: PPV was >90% across all time periods.
- **Sensitivity** was stable at 95% for Times B and C.
- **Algorithm 5,** "severe COVID-19," had a higher PPV and lower sensitivity.

Summary

Conclusions:

- PPV is based on more complete data for integrated delivery systems compared to claims.
- We believe that the observed differences in PPV by health plan type are partly attributable to differences in capture of inpatient laboratory data.
- With a PPV of 81% and sensitivity of 95%, we recommend using U07.1 for inpatient COVID-19 cohort identification in U.S. claims data when complete laboratory results are not available.

Workgroup

Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute:

Sheryl Kluberg Noelle Cocoros Darren Toh Laura Hou Adee Kennedy U.S. Food and Drug Administration: Sarah Dutcher Brian Kit Monisha Billings Michael Nguyen Robert Ball

Acknowledgements

Participating Data Partners:

Aetna, a CVS Health company

HealthCore

HealthPartners

Kaiser Permanente Colorado

Kaiser Permanente Mid-Atlantic States

Kaiser Permanente Washington







Colorado **Mid-Atlantic** Washington

Thank you

sheryl_kluberg@harvardpilgrim.org